

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigniia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/505,810		02/17/2000	Kyoko Kawaguchi	32410 7331	
116	7590	07/24/2003			
PEARNE &			EXAMINER		
SUITE 1200)	NUE EAST	BASHORE, ALAIN L		
CLEVELAND, OH 44114-1484				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3624	
				DATE MAILED: 07/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SL

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/505,810	KAWAGUCHI ET AL.						
7. 	Examiner	Art Unit	•					
	Alain L. Bashore	3624						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 07 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
	PLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): <u>35 USC 112, first and se</u>	cond paragarphs.						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment					
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) requence the application in condition for allowance b. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause: See Continuation Sheet.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19, 21-30, 33-83</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	iner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:								
		Alain L. Bashore	re					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The "ticket code" transmitted by Walker is considered analogous to an exchange certificate and is combined for the reasons given in the final office action. The exchange certificate has yet to be claimed by Applicant is such a way as to overcome what is disclosed as combinable in Walker.

HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER